

Partners Ending Homelessness 2021 Renewal Project Ranking Criteria

APR used 3/1/2020 -2/28/2021.				
Organization Name:				
Project Name:				
Contact Person				
Phone Number				
Email				
Project Type (check corr	ect box)			
Transitional Housing (Th	1)			
Safe Haven (SH)				
Transitional housing – Rapid Re-Housing (TH-RRH)				
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)				
Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)				
Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)				
Coordinated Entry (CE)				

Α.	Data Quality: 100% of the following data completed during the reporting period of 3/1/2020 to 2/28/21			
Data Quality	 6a Personally Identifiable Information (6 pts - 1 pt for each 0%) 	Points	6	
	2. 6b Universal Data Elements (5 pts – 1 pt for each 0%)	Points	5	
	3. 6c Income & Sources at Start, Annual & Exit (6 pts – 2 pts for each 0%)	Points	6	
	4. 6d Chronic Homelessness (1 pts for 0% in project type)	Points	1	
	5. 15 Prior Living Situation (equals homeless situation)	Points	3	

	6. 20b Non-Cash Benefits (1 pts – if client does not know & data not collected both equal 0)	Points	1
	7. 6e Project Start Dates are entered within 48 hours	Points	1
	8. 21 Health Insurance (1 pts – if client does not know & data not collected both equal 0)	Points	1
	1. 92% or more of participants exit to or remains in permanent housing?	Points See Scoring B.	3
B. All Programs	2. 20% or more participants increase cash income?	Points See Scoring B.	3
outcomes	3. 20% or more participants increase employment income?	Points See Scoring B.	3
	4. Less than 15% with no income?	Points See Scoring B.	3
	5. 85% or more participants have cash income?	Points See Scoring B.	3
	6. 20% or more participants have employment income in the program year?	Points See Scoring B.	3
	7. 85% or more participants have obtained non-cash benefits?	Points See Scoring B.	3
	8. 90% or more participants have health insurance?	Points See Scoring B.	3
C.	1. The average time from prioritization list referral to being entered into the project is within two weeks?	Points	3
Coordinated Entry	2. The average time from the client's HMIS project entry date to being housed is 45 days for PSH or 30 days for RRH?	Points	3
D. Efficiency	1.Drawdown Efficiency: Total HUD expenditures and how efficiently is the project using its grant funds? How much was drawn down from the project's last submitted APR? (1)	Points See Scoring D.	3
	2. Project efficiency: HUD expenditures divided by the number of Heads households served in the last submitted APR. (2)	Points See Scoring D.	3
	3. Cost of Success rate: HUD expenditures divided by the number of households with a successful exit or remained stable in PH in the last submitted APR. (2)	Points See Scoring D.	3

TOTAL POINTS	

Pro Re	Part 2: Please do not fill out the following until requested. Projects that score below the average ranking criteria score go before the non-conflicted Project Review committee. The Committee will review this project's outcomes and adjust score (+/-) 5 points based on mitigating factors identified below. Mitigating Factors: Please explain any answer you think does not accurately portray your program or anything you want the reviewers to know about your program not covered in the application question. (Please use the text box below, be specific and keep the word count total under 500 words)			
Mit or				

Scoring:

(B)

Projects earn one point for the following:

The project scored at or above the community goal for each outcome.

The project scored at or above the average of like housing group (PSH/RRH/TH)

The project scored at or above the average of all projects.

(These percentages will be included on the projects final scoring sheet)

Sample:

Measurements	Community Goals %	All PSH Projects	All CoC Projects	Sample PSH projects	Points Earned	Reasoning for points
Participants exit to or remains in permanent housing	92%+	88%	86%	96%	3	All percentage outcomes above goals
Participants increase cash income	20%+	52%	35%	53%	3	All percentage outcomes above goals
Participants increase employment income	20%+	9%	13%	13%	2	2 percentage outcomes above goals
Participants with no income	<15%	16%	18%	0%	3	All percentage outcomes above goals
Participants have cash income	85%+	84%	82%	100%	3	All percentage outcomes above goals
Participants have employment income in the program year	20%+	11%	20%	17%	1	1 percentage outcome above goals
Participants have obtained non-cash benefits?	85%+	82%	79%	90%	3	All percentage outcomes above goals
Participants have health insurance	90%+	95%	91%	97%	3	All percentage outcomes above goals

21

- **D. Efficiency** Question 1. > 95% = 3 points 95% < = 0 points
 - Question 2. At or below the average cost per Head of Household=3 point

 Above the average cost per Head of household = 0 points
 - Question 3. At or below the average cost of successful exit rate = 3 point

 Above the average cost of successful exit rate = 0 points
 - (1) For projects that have not submitted an APR after 1/1/2020, PEH will request a screenshot of the project's last drawdown in eLOCCS to show how much funding is being utilized and prorate the rest to the project's end date.
 - (2) PSH, RRH, and TH projects will be compared to each other when calculating these measures; i.e. PSH to PSH, RRH to RRH, TH to TH.