	Scoring Matrix					
				Renewal Applications		
		Point range	Maximum Score	Scoring Criteria		
Section 2						
В.	Q1		5	Response demonstrates minimum barriers to program entry		
	Q 2		5	Response demonstrates commitment to minimizing rejections at program entry and negative terminations		
C.	Q 1	Yes		Program fully participates in HMIS		
	Q 2	Yes		Does the project share all HUD data standards		
	Q 3		2	Response describes process with logical work flow		
	Q 4		2	Response describes changes you have made to improve data quality and timeliness		
	Q 5		2	What have you done in the past year to improve your data entry quality and timeliness		
D.	Q1	< 5% = 2 point; = > 5%= 0 points	3	Data entry errors "destination"		
	Q 2	< 5% = 2 point; = > 5%= 0 points	3	Data entry errors "Income at Annual Assessment"		
	Q 3	< 5% = 2 point; = > 5%= 0 points	3	Data entry errors "Income at Entry", "Income at Exit"		
	Q 4	>74%=4points; 50%- 74%=3 30%- 40%=1; <30%=0	5	Data entry is done within 72 hours of entry to or exit from program		
Section 3						
А.	Q 1	<100% of participants through CE = 0; 100% of participants came through CE and participates in CE workgroup = 5; 100% of participants came through CE, does not participate in CE workgroup = 3	5	Making/Receiving Referrals Regularly attend CE workgroup meetings, What % of participants who have entered your program since 12/08/2017 came through the prioritization list		
	Q 2	1 point each committee	4	Which CoC/HSN activities does your program/project staff participate in?		
	Q 3	1 partner =2.5 points 2+ partners = 5 points	5	Response describes relationships with community partners		
	Q 4	1 points each service	3	Response provides services provided that lead to increased self-sufficiency		
В.	Q 1	> 95%=5 points 94%-85% = 4 84%- 80%=3 79%-55%=2 <54%=0	5	Average Annual Utilization - Benchmark: 95%		
	Q 2	0 - 21	21	Monitoring is scored on sliding scale with project getting highest monitoring score receiving 21 points, second highest monitoring score receives 20 points, this goes on until no points left to give. If a project scored the same on the monitoring form they got the same amount of points as the project they tied with in all scenarios		
	Q 3	< or = average = 2.5	2.5	Above or Below Average Cost Per Household Based on Same Type of Program		
	Q 4	< or = average = 2.5	2.5	Above or Below Average Cost Per Successful Exit Based on Same Type of Program		
C.	Q 1	>90% = 2.5 <90% =0	2.5	What was your FY2017 drawdown efficiency? (project year ending in 2018)		

	Q 4	>90% = 2.5 <90% =0	2.5	What is your current year drawdown efficiency?	
Section 5	Q1		0	Response indicates that your program has strategies that increase the likelihood of participant success	
	Q 2		0	Response indicates applicant is familiar with Community Priorities and demonstrates program addresses them	
	Q 3		0	Response indicates that that you have made changes in program or policies to improve performance	
	Q 4	0 - 5 pts. Determined by Reviewer based on response	5	Mitigating Factors	
Section 6		Meets benchmark = 2; Misses benchmark = 0	2	Benchmark: Returns to homelessness are less than 20% in last two years	
		Meets benchmark = 2; Misses benchmark = 0	2	Benchmark: 20% of participants will have increased employment income	
		Meets benchmark = 2; Misses benchmark = 0	2	Benchmark: 64% participants access non-cash benefits	
		Meets benchmark = 2 or 4; Misses benchmark = 0	2	Benchmark: 92% of participants exit to or remain in permanent housing; RRH & PSH = 2; TH = 4	
		Meets benchmark = 2; Misses benchmark = 0	2	Benchmark: Average # of days from program entry until housing move in date; RRH = < 30 days; PSH = <45 days	
		Meets benchmark = 2; Misses benchmark = 0	2	Benchmark: 20% of participants will have increased cash income	
Budget			5	Costs are all eligible expenses = 1; Staffing - details provided = 2; Budget - complete, reasonable and accurate = 2	
			105		

				New Project Applications	
Scoring Matrix					
Section 1		1 population = 2.5 points 2+ populations = 5 points	5	What homeless sub-populations are proposed to be served	
		1 special need = 2.5 points 2+ special needs = 5 points	5	What are the proposed special needs of participants	
Section 2					
	Q 1	(1 point for successfully discussing each component, 1 point for answering all 4 components)	5	Target Population, services offered, best practices utilized, Coordinated Entry, Community Collaboration Applicant answered all parts of the question; after reading narrative you believe you have a good understanding of the proposed project	
	Q2		5	Applicant presents reasonable, data-based answer to how need was determined and how it will be addressed by new program	
	Q 3	Provides MOU with partners or information about partners, describes prior experience	10	Program works with other community based organizations; has prior experience with homeless and grants management	
	Q 4	(1 point for each service discussed)	5	Describe what services your project will provide or make referrals to that lead to the increased self-sufficiency of participants	
	Q 5		5	Describe what services your project will provide or make referrals to that lead to the increased self-sufficiency of participants	
	Q 6		5	Describe your past challenges with CoC funded program(s) or why you are chosing to apply for funding this NOFA round	
Section 3	Q 1	100%=3 points; <100% = 0 points	3	What percentage of your program participants will be coming through the Coordinated Entry system?	
	Q 2	0 -5 points	5	Response indicates that program demonstrates a thorough understanding of coordinated entry.	
	Q 3	Applicant answered all parts of the question; Applicant appears capable of handling federal grant requirements	4	Applicant should identify employee(s) responsible for compliance, policies regarding data entry, have financial policies and procedures that will meet HUD requirements, provides an annual audit or review	
Section 4	Q 1	1 point each committee (max of 4 points)	4	Which CoC/HSN activities does your program/project staff participate in?	
	Q 2		2	Response indicates applicant is familiar with Community Priorities and demonstrates program addresses them	
Section 5	Q 1		3	Response indicates that program is aware of educational services that must be provided consistent with McKinney-Vento requirements	
Section 6	Q 1	(0 Criteria = 5 points 1 Criteria = 0 Points)	5	Response demonstrates minimum barriers to program entry	
	Q 2	(0 Criteria = 5 points 1 Criteria = 0 Points)	5	Response demonstrates commitment to minimizing rejections at program entry and negative terminations	
	Q 3	Housing First or Person Centered Policy		Response demonstrates an understanding of Housing First and Person Centered principles	

Section 7	Q 1	Yes	0	Is the project going to fully participate in HMIS?
	Q 2	Yes	0	Project agrees to share data and assessments
	Q 3	(2.5 points for successfully discussing each component)	10	Response indicates that they are familiar with HMIS or other database and describes a logical work flow
Section 8	Q 1	equal or > than benchmark = 2	2	Benchmark: 64% participants access non-cash benefits
	Q 2	equal or > than benchmark = 2	2	Benchmark: 20% of participants will have employment income
	Q 3	equal or > than benchmark = 2	2	Benchmark: 64% participants access non-cash benefits
	Q 4	equal or > than benchmark = 2	2	Benchmark: 92% of participants exit to permanent housing
	Q 5	< or = average = 2	2	Above or Below Average Cost Based on Same Type of Program
	Q 6	< or = average = 2	2	Above or Below Average Cost Based on Same Type of Program
	Q 7		2	Response should indicate that the applicant is knowledgeable of the services that need to be provided to increase the likelihood of participant success
Budget			5	Costs are all eligible expenses = 1; Staffing - details provided = 2; Budget - complete, reasonable and accurate = 2

		New Project Applic	ć
Section 1	1 population = 2.5 points 2+ populations = 5 points	5	
	1 special need = 2.5 points 2+ special needs = 5 points	5	

ations